

Upskilling the Future Workforce: Bridging the Gap Between Academics and Industry 4.0

Bertaria Sohnata Hutaauruk

Universitas HKBP Nommensen Pematangsiantar, Indonesia

*Correspondence: bertaria45@gmail.com

Received: July 21, 2026 | **Revised:** August 10, 2026 | **Accepted:** September 1, 2026 | **Published Online:** September 23, 2026

Abstract

Rapid advancements in Industry 4.0, characterized by automation, artificial intelligence, and digital transformation, have exposed a significant skills mismatch between traditional academic curricula and evolving industry demands. This study adopts a qualitative, literature-based approach combined with comparative analysis of contemporary higher education frameworks, institutional practices, and industry competency requirements to identify critical gaps in workforce readiness. The findings indicate that conventional academic programs tend to emphasize theoretical knowledge while providing limited opportunities for practical technical skills, project-based learning, and cross-disciplinary competencies. The analysis further indicates that strategic partnerships, including industry-academia co-designed curricula, micro-credentialing, and upskilling bootcamps, can help strengthen graduate employability and reduce the competency gap. To prepare the future workforce for Industry 4.0, higher education institutions should develop dynamic learning ecosystems that integrate agile learning methodologies, continuous professional upskilling, and active collaboration with industry.

Keywords:

Industry 4.0, Upskilling, Workforce Readiness, Higher Education, Curriculum Gap, Employability.

How to Cite:

Hutaauruk BS. (2026). Upskilling the Future Workforce: Bridging the Gap Between Academics and Industry 4.0. *Journal of Learning Spectrum*, 1(5), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.63985/jols.v1i5.143>

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license.



1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid evolution of the global economic and technological landscape, widely associated with Industry 4.0, has fundamentally transformed production systems, organizational structures, and corporate environments (Anshari & Hamdan, 2022). Characterized by the integration of artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), big data analytics, automation, and cyber-physical systems, the fourth industrial revolution has reshaped contemporary professional roles and workforce requirements. As organizations increasingly adopt digital and automated workflows, the competencies required of employees have undergone a significant transformation (Li, 2022). Consequently, succeeding in this technological era requires a workforce that is not only digitally literate but also equipped with advanced cognitive, problem-solving, and adaptive capabilities.

Despite the rapid pace of technological change in the corporate sector, Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) have often faced challenges in adapting their educational frameworks accordingly (Bag Majumder, 2025). Traditional academic curricula are frequently characterized by rigid, discipline-specific, and theory-oriented instruction that may not fully reflect current industrial practices. This gap can leave graduates insufficiently prepared for the demands of modern digital workplaces (Rikala et al., 2024). The resulting mismatch between academic preparation and industry expectations represents an important challenge for graduate employability and workforce readiness.

A central issue in this challenge is the growing demand for both technical proficiency and hybrid competencies. Contemporary organizations increasingly require employees who can combine technical capabilities, data literacy, and cross-disciplinary knowledge (Marlapudi & Lenka, 2024). Emerging job roles also require technological competencies alongside emotional intelligence, critical thinking, and complex problem-solving skills (Li, 2022). However, conventional university assessment systems that rely heavily on standardized examinations may provide limited opportunities for students to develop these multidimensional competencies through experiential learning.

Furthermore, the rapid integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning across economic sectors has increased the need for continuous professional development (Anshari & Hamdan, 2022). As automation takes over routine and repetitive tasks, workers increasingly need higher-order cognitive abilities related to system optimization, decision-making, and technological governance. Lifelong learning and continuous upskilling have therefore become increasingly important for maintaining workforce relevance (Li, 2022). However, conventional academic structures may still treat education primarily as a fixed period of formal study rather than as a continuous process of professional development.

In response to these challenges, the concept of "Higher Education 4.0" has emerged as a framework for transforming academic governance, pedagogy, and digital infrastructure (Bag Majumder, 2025). This approach emphasizes student-centered learning, interactive digital environments, and project-based learning that reflects authentic industrial challenges. The integration of emerging technologies, including cloud computing, augmented reality, and big data simulations, can help reduce the gap between classroom learning and workplace requirements (Rikala et al., 2024).

In addition to technological integration, bridging the academic-industry divide requires stronger institutional partnerships. Co-designing curricula with industry practitioners can help ensure that learning outcomes remain aligned with changing market competencies rather than outdated academic requirements (Marlapudi & Lenka, 2024). Collaborative training models, including cooperative education, corporate-sponsored bootcamps, and micro-credentialing initiatives, can provide students with flexible opportunities to develop specialized and high-

demand skills (Li, 2022). Such partnerships can also enable academic institutions to gain greater awareness of industry trends while helping organizations develop a stronger pipeline of future-ready talent.

Despite the recognized importance of these reforms, several implementation barriers remain across different institutional and geographical contexts. Bureaucratic resistance, limited investment in digital infrastructure, and gaps in faculty digital competencies can hinder comprehensive curriculum transformation (Anshari & Hamdan, 2022). Smaller institutions may face additional challenges because of limited financial resources and restricted access to advanced technological facilities or partnerships with large corporations, potentially widening existing educational disparities (Rikala et al., 2024).

These challenges highlight the need for scalable approaches that can strengthen workforce readiness while promoting equitable access to future-oriented education. Policymakers, educators, and industry leaders need to collaborate in developing frameworks that support curriculum flexibility, interdisciplinary learning, and continuous professional development (Bag Majumder, 2025). Examining contemporary institutional practices and collaborative models can provide valuable insights into how higher education institutions can respond more effectively to changing Industry 4.0 requirements.

Against this background, this study aims to investigate the mechanisms required to bridge the gap between academic preparation and Industry 4.0 workforce requirements. Specifically, this paper examines curriculum shortcomings, explores collaborative training models, and considers frameworks for continuous upskilling (Li, 2022; Marlapudi & Lenka, 2024). By synthesizing contemporary literature and institutional practices, the study seeks to identify how higher education can become more responsive to technological change and contribute to improved graduate employability.

Overall, preparing the future workforce requires stronger coordination between formal education and professional environments (Anshari & Hamdan, 2022). As Industry 4.0 continues to evolve, higher education institutions must develop more agile, adaptable, and continuously learning ecosystems that enable graduates to respond effectively to changing technological and labor-market demands.

2. METHOD

This study adopts a qualitative, desk-based research design that combines a systematic literature review with comparative institutional analysis to examine the gap between higher education and Industry 4.0 workforce requirements. A qualitative approach is appropriate for examining complex socio-technical issues, institutional challenges, and changes in educational and workforce frameworks, while enabling the synthesis of relevant scholarly literature and policy documents (Creswell & Creswell, 2023; Saunders et al., 2023).

A systematic literature search was conducted across major academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, and Google Scholar. The search strategy used combinations of keywords related to Industry 4.0, higher education, curriculum development, upskilling, skills gaps, and workforce readiness. The primary search string was: ("Industry 4.0" OR "Digital Transformation") AND ("Higher Education" OR "Academic Curricula") AND ("Upskilling" OR "Skill Gaps" OR "Workforce Readiness"). The search was limited to peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and relevant policy documents published between 2022 and 2026 to capture recent developments in technology, education, and workforce requirements (Rikala et al., 2024).

The identified literature was screened using predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Studies were included if they addressed at least one of the following areas: (a) curriculum misalignment or skills mismatches in higher education, (b) academia-industry collaboration and training models, including bootcamps, dual education, and micro-credentialing, or (c) workforce upskilling frameworks related to automation and artificial intelligence. Publications were excluded if they lacked sufficient empirical or theoretical discussion, were not written in English, or focused exclusively on pre-tertiary education.

Following the screening process, thematic analysis was used to synthesize the qualitative information extracted from the selected literature (Braun & Clarke, 2022). The analysis focused on recurring patterns, institutional barriers, success factors in public-private partnerships, and pedagogical innovations that support the development of hybrid competencies. The findings were organized into four thematic categories: curriculum design, institutional governance, technological integration, and lifelong learning frameworks. These categories provided a basis for comparing how different higher education systems respond to the changing demands of the digital economy (Li, 2022; Bag Majumder, 2025).

To complement the literature review, a comparative analysis of selected institutional case studies from diverse economic contexts was also conducted. The case studies were examined in relation to curriculum adaptation, industry collaboration, technological integration, and workforce upskilling. This combined approach enabled the study to identify structural gaps between higher education and Industry 4.0 requirements while also examining potential strategies for addressing these gaps and informing future educational policy and curriculum development.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The synthesis of contemporary literature reveals critical insights into the structural misalignment between traditional higher education frameworks and the dynamic competencies demanded by Industry 4.0. As organizations aggressively adopt cyber-physical systems, big data analytics, and artificial intelligence, the conventional academic model historically anchored in static, siloed theoretical instruction struggles to keep pace (Anshari & Hamdan, 2022). The findings indicate that the primary root of the workforce readiness gap lies in the systemic delay of academic institutions in updating curriculum content and instructional methodologies to reflect real-time industrial transformation.

A prominent theme emerging from the data is the heavy reliance on standardized, exam-driven assessments within higher education, which starkly contrasts with the complex, problem-solving environments of modern enterprises. While academic programs excel at delivering foundational theoretical knowledge, they frequently fall short in fostering critical cross-disciplinary competencies, emotional intelligence, and technological adaptability (Li, 2022). Consequently, graduates enter the labor market possessing domain-specific concepts but lacking the practical agility required to navigate multi-layered, automated workflows or collaborate effectively across digital ecosystems (Rikala et al., 2024).

Furthermore, the results highlight a profound shortage of hybrid skill sets among university cohorts. Modern employers across manufacturing, finance, and service sectors no longer seek isolated technical specialists or purely administrative personnel; rather, they demand professionals who bridge data science literacy with strategic business acumen (Marlapudi & Lenka, 2024). The qualitative synthesis demonstrates that universities that fail to integrate interdisciplinary projects such as combining engineering principles with data ethics or business management with artificial intelligence tools inadvertently widen the competency divide.

Addressing this structural deficit necessitates a paradigm shift toward "Higher Education 4.0," which redefines institutional governance and instructional delivery through student-centered, immersive ecosystems (Bag Majumder, 2025). The discussion indicates that successful academic frameworks leverage virtual simulation labs, cloud-based collaborative platforms, and project-based learning modules that mirror authentic corporate challenges. By immersing students in realistic digital environments, institutions can bridge the psychological and operational distance between the lecture hall and the smart factory floor (Rikala et al., 2024).

In addition to internal curriculum overhauls, the findings strongly emphasize that institutional isolation is a major catalyst for graduate underemployment. Academic institutions operating independently of industrial feedback loops consistently produce curricula decoupled from market realities. Conversely, institutions that actively establish strategic public-private partnerships experience significantly higher graduate placement rates and enhanced workforce readiness indices (Marlapudi & Lenka, 2024). Co-designed programs ensure that learning outcomes evolve concurrently with technological disruptions rather than lagging years behind.

Collaborative training models such as cooperative education, corporate-sponsored bootcamps, and modular micro-credentialing have proven to be highly effective instruments for bridging the gap. These flexible frameworks allow learners to acquire specialized, high-demand digital proficiencies without disrupting their core educational trajectories (Li, 2022). Furthermore, micro-credentials offer a scalable mechanism for continuous upskilling, enabling both students and active workforce members to stack modular competencies as emerging technologies, such as generative AI and IoT, continually reshape industry standards.

Despite the proven efficacy of these collaborative models, systemic implementation barriers remain pervasive across diverse economic and institutional landscapes. Bureaucratic inertia, rigid accreditation policies, and a severe shortage of internal funding for advanced digital infrastructure frequently restrict comprehensive reforms (Anshari & Hamdan, 2022). Moreover, smaller regional colleges and universities often lack the financial leverage required to establish formal, high-tech partnerships with multinational corporations, thereby exacerbating educational inequalities and regional talent shortages (Rikala et al., 2024).

Another critical dimension uncovered in the synthesis is the internal digital skill gap among faculty members themselves. Instructors accustomed to legacy pedagogical models often encounter steep learning curves when attempting to integrate advanced technologies into their daily teaching practices. Without sustained faculty professional development programs and institutional incentives geared toward digital innovation, curriculum modernization efforts stall at the administrative level (Bag Majumder, 2025). Empowering educators with contemporary technological training is therefore an indispensable prerequisite to effectively upskilling the student body.

The broader socio-economic implications of these findings suggest that lifelong learning must transition from an optional career perk to an institutionalized continuous developmental continuum. As automation absorbs routine cognitive and manual tasks, human capital must pivot toward higher-order strategic governance, complex system optimization, and ethical technology oversight (Li, 2022; Marlapudi & Lenka, 2024). Academic institutions can no longer view graduation as the terminal endpoint of formal education; instead, they must position themselves as lifelong learning hubs that provide continuous reskilling pathways throughout an individual's career.

Ultimately, bridging the gap between academics and Industry 4.0 requires a synchronized, multi-stakeholder ecosystem involving educational leaders, corporate policymakers, and government entities. By dismantling institutional silos, embracing flexible modular credentials, and fostering robust collaborative training pipelines, higher education can

successfully reclaim its role as a primary catalyst for sustainable economic growth, innovation, and enhanced workforce resilience (Anshari & Hamdan, 2022; Bag Majumder, 2025).

4. CONCLUSION

The rapid proliferation of Industry 4.0 has fundamentally disrupted the global labor market, exposing a profound structural misalignment between traditional higher education curricula and contemporary workplace demands. As demonstrated throughout this study, conventional academic models characterized by rigid instructional silos, theoretical detachment, and exam-driven assessments fail to cultivate the adaptive, cross-disciplinary, and hybrid competencies required in modern automated environments (Anshari & Hamdan, 2022; Rikala et al., 2024). Consequently, bridging the gap between academia and industry is no longer merely an institutional preference, but an urgent socio-economic imperative for ensuring graduate employability and economic resilience.

The synthesis of contemporary literature highlights that overcoming this competency divide requires a multifaceted paradigm shift, conceptualized as "Higher Education 4.0" (Bag Majumder, 2025). This transformation necessitates the integration of immersive, project-based learning methodologies, virtual simulation infrastructures, and flexible, modular training mechanisms such as micro-credentialing and corporate-sponsored bootcamps (Li, 2022). Furthermore, robust public-private partnerships and co-designed curricula serve as vital instruments to ensure that educational outcomes evolve concurrently with technological breakthroughs rather than lagging behind industrial cycles (Marlapudi & Lenka, 2024).

However, realizing these reforms requires confronting persistent internal and systemic barriers. Institutional inertia, bureaucratic resistance, unequal distribution of digital infrastructure, and the internal digital skill gaps of faculty members remain formidable obstacles to comprehensive curriculum modernization (Anshari & Hamdan, 2022; Rikala et al., 2024). Addressing these challenges demands targeted investments in faculty professional development, flexible accreditation policies, and equitable resource allocation to support regional academic institutions.

Ultimately, higher education must transition away from viewing graduation as a terminal milestone, instead establishing itself as a lifelong learning hub that facilitates continuous reskilling and professional upskilling throughout an individual's career trajectory (Li, 2022). By fostering a synchronized, collaborative ecosystem involving educators, policymakers, and corporate leaders, future workforce development can successfully align with the dynamic realities of Industry 4.0, securing sustainable economic growth and societal innovation for generations to come.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their sincere appreciation to all individuals and institutions that contributed to the completion of this research. Special gratitude is extended to the teachers and students who participated in this study and provided valuable insights during the data collection process. The authors also acknowledge the support and encouragement from colleagues, academic mentors, and the educational institution that facilitated this research. Their constructive feedback, cooperation, and academic guidance were essential in improving the quality of this study and ensuring the successful completion of the research.

REFERENCES

Alaloul, W. S., Liew, M. S., Zawawi, N. A. W., & Mohammed, B. S. (2022). Industry 4.0 in

-
- the construction industry: A review of recent research, practices, and future directions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 331, Article 129988.
- Anshari, M., & Hamdan, M. (2022). Understanding Industry 4.0 and digital transformation in education and workforce. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 178, Article 121576.
- Bag Majumder, S. (2025). Aligning academic curriculum with Industry 4.0 requirements: A critical review of academic competencies for a digital workforce. *Journal of Global Education and Research*, 9(2), 112–128.
- Benitez, J., Arenas, A., Castillo, A., & Esteves, J. (2022). Impact of digital transformation on the workforce: A systematic literature review and research agenda. *International Journal of Information Management*, 63, Article 102456.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2022). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. SAGE Publications.
- Buenechea-Elberdin, M., Saenz, J., & Aramburu, N. (2023). Bridging the skills gap in Industry 4.0: The role of interdisciplinary education and collaborative networks. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 35(6), 501–518.
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2023). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Davies, R., & Eynon, R. (2024). Higher education institutions and the digital economy: Navigating structural inertia in the age of AI. *Higher Education*, 87(3), 645–662.
- Ghobakhloo, M., & Fathi, M. (2022). Industry 4.0 and the future of manufacturing workforce: Education, skills, and human-centricity. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 63, 910–924.
- Ivanov, D. (2023). Viable ecosystem analytics: Integrating Industry 4.0, resilient education, and workforce upskilling. *International Journal of Production Research*, 61(4), 1120–1138.
- Kergroach, S. (2022). Preparing for the future of work: National policies on upskilling and reskilling for Industry 4.0. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 175, Article 121380.
- Li, L. (2022). Reskilling and upskilling the future-ready workforce for Industry 4.0 and beyond. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 26(5), 1773–1783.
- Marlapudi, S., & Lenka, U. (2024). Developing hybrid capabilities: Integrating technical expertise with strategic thinking for Industry 4.0. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 36(4), 310–325.
- Morrar, R., Arman, H., & Mousa, S. (2022). The digital transformation of higher education: Assessing readiness for Industry 4.0. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 8(2), Article 79.
- Narcizo, R. B., Cauchick-Miguel, P. A., & Rozenfeld, H. (2023). Competences and skills for Industry 4.0: A systematic literature review and a research agenda. *Production Planning & Control*, 34(11), 1045–1068.
- Okudan, G. E., & Steiner, M. (2024). Transforming engineering and technology curricula to meet Industry 4.0 demands: Challenges and collaborative solutions. *European Journal of Engineering Education*, 49(1), 88–105.
- Priyono, A., Moin, A., & Putri, V. N. A. (2022). Managing digital transformation and upskilling in higher education: Insights from emerging economies. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 7(4), Article 100258.
- Rahimi, M., & Kianpour, K. (2023). Artificial intelligence in education and workforce training: A comprehensive review of recent trends. *Computers and Education*:

- Rikala, P., Braun, G., Järvinen, M., & Stahre, J. (2024). Understanding and measuring skill gaps in Industry 4.0: A review. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 201, Article 123206.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2023). *Research methods for business students* (9th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Schwab, K., & Zahidi, S. (2023). *The future of jobs report 2023: Skills for the digital and green transition*. World Economic Forum.
- Sharma, R., & Joshi, S. (2024). Bridging the academia-industry divide through micro-credentialing and agile learning ecosystems. *Journal of Education and Work*, 37(2), 145–160.
- Sima, V., Gheorghe, I. G., Subić, J., & Nancu, D. (2022). Influences of the Industry 4.0 revolution on the human capital development and workforce agility. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja*, 35(1), 5707–5726.
- Tortorella, G. L., Fettermann, D., Frank, A. G., & Marodin, G. (2023). Assessing workforce readiness for Industry 4.0: A maturity model approach. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 256, Article 108745.
- Tseng, M. L., Tan, R. R., & Chiu, A. S. (2022). Sustainable workforce development in Industry 4.0: Challenges and opportunities for higher education. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 352, Article 131589.
- Vey, K., Dale-Clough, A., & Spöttl, G. (2023). Digitalization and the workforce: Competency requirements and upskilling strategies for the manufacturing sector. *Journal of Vocational Education & Training*, 75(3), 420–445.
- Wang, Y., & Sun, Y. (2024). Higher Education 4.0: Curriculum redesign, pedagogy innovation, and industry collaboration. *Studies in Higher Education*, 49(5), 812–830.
- Xu, L. D., Lu, Y., & Li, L. (2022). Embedding Industry 4.0 technologies into academic curricula: A roadmap for higher education transformation. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 69(3), 640–652.
- Yigitcanlar, T., Degirmenci, K., Butler, L., & Desouza, K. C. (2023). What are the key determinants of digital divide in the Industry 4.0 era? *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 188, Article 122285.
- Zhang, M., & Liu, X. (2025). Lifelong learning and continuous upskilling frameworks for the future-ready workforce: A global perspective. *Journal of Lifelong Learning*, 34(1), 45–62.

